

THE HIDDEN TIME COMPRESSION

# 25 seven-hour days to prepare. Five hours to review.

A 2026 UK funding-process study found a severe time imbalance. Reviewers reported a median of five hours. Small ambiguities can carry the weight of weeks of work.

|                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <div>25 days</div> <div>APPLICANT MEDIAN</div> <div>Principal investigators, n=241. The survey defined a day as seven hours.</div> | <div>5 hours</div> <div>REVIEWER MEDIAN</div> <div>Reviewer responses, n=628. This was a separate survey median.</div> | <div>35 to 1</div> <div>ROUGH COMPARISON</div> <div>25 x 7 / 5. A derived comparison, not a matched-pair measure.</div> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Read this as roughly 35 to 1 across two study medians. It is not a measure of reviewer care, proposal quality, or any applicant's result.

|                                                                                     |                                                                                           |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <div>86%</div> <div>rated initial-stage application burden high or very high.</div> | <div>89%</div> <div>of estimated process cost was borne by applicants in the study.</div> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

THE COMPETITIVE CONTEXT

|                                                                                                               |                                    |                                      |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <div>19,082</div> <div>APPLICATIONS ASSESSED</div>                                                            | <div>3,567</div> <div>AWARDS</div> | <div>19%</div> <div>AWARD RATE</div> |
| UKRI, 2025/26. UKRI-wide context, not your personal odds. Published coverage and financial-year limits apply. |                                    |                                      |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <div>THE USEFUL CONCLUSION</div> <div>Competition cannot be checked away. A final preflight can still protect the weeks already invested by finding a preventable rule, file, or consistency failure.</div> <div>Sources checked 8 August 2026: <a href="#">2026 burden study</a>   <a href="#">UKRI 2025/26 statistics</a></div> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

## A FINAL PASS WITH CONSEQUENCES

# Five high-consequence failure modes

These categories are not ranked by frequency. Public sources do not provide a reliable UK-wide table of why every unsuccessful proposal failed.

01

**A mandatory rule is missed**

CHECK FIRST

The call, applicant, value, duration, or required permission falls outside the exact live opportunity.

02

**The final files disagree**

A date, cost, milestone, partner, role, or facility changes in one document but not another.

03

**The plan promises unfunded work**

A role, trip, facility, data source, or item of equipment appears in the plan but not the budget.

04

**The right content is missing or misplaced**

A required field, attachment, declaration, caption, or supporting detail is absent or in the wrong place.

05

**A parser or reviewer cannot see the evidence**

Scanned pages, broken characters, text boxes, clipped tables, or image-only content hide a key fact.

## YOUR 15-MINUTE FINAL-PACK RESET

1. Open the live opportunity, not a saved search result.
2. List every final file and confirm its version.
3. Compare names, dates, costs, roles, milestones, and partners.
4. Record what was not checked and who must decide it.

Official sources: [UKRI submission guidance](#)